



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIT	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,206 12/2		21/2001	Nisar Ahmed Khan	2183-5222US	5353
24247	7590	08/24/2005	EXAMINER		INEŘ
TRASK BRITT				WITZ, JEAN C	
P.O. BOX 2	2550				
SALT LAKE CITY,		84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1651	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/029,206	KHAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jean C. Witz	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replance of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	March 2005.	•				
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-18 and 20</u> is/are pending in th	e application	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-12,16-18 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f):						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority ander 55 c.c.c. § 115(a)	-(u) or (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received					
Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Burea	•	a in this reasonal stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No (Mail Date 0005				
Office A	onon Guinnary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0805				

Application/Control Number: 10/029,206 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed March 21, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of the species of infection with pathogenic bacteria in the reply filed on March 21, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that that a reasonable number of species were included in the application. This is not found persuasive because the species of conditions were extensive and diverse. Since the elected invention was a method and a required search includes numerous and varied databases as well as a class and subclass search, there would be a substantial burden on the Examiner to examine other species of conditions. Other than an assertion that the number of species was reasonable, no other arguments or evidence was presented by Applicants.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-8, 10-12, 16-18 and 20 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,206

Art Unit: 1651

Via their statements, Applicants appear to be asserting that, as a result of the amendments to the claims whereby the inflammation to be mediated is the NFKappaB mediated inflammation, the claims are fully enabled by the specification. Applicants state that the references provided by the Examiner relate to the activity of the lethal toxin (aka lethal factor) released by the anthrax bacteria. This statement appears to be implying that the inflammatory pathogenesis is not affected by the lethal toxin and that amelioration of the inflammatory pathogenesis will predictability result in treatment of an anthrax infection. Applicants' statements have failed to provide evidence of predictability in the treatment of pathogenic infections and specifically anthrax.

First, it is noted that known antibiotics have limited efficacy after the bacteria produce a threshold toxin level. Reduction of bacterial load is ineffective if a threshold amount of toxin has been produced and released. See Kalns et al., BBRC 297: 506-509 (2002). It remains unclear and unpredictable as to the relationship between the timing and effect of the NFKappaB –mediated inflammation and the timing and effect of the release of the lethal toxin. As a result, it is unclear and unpredictable that any treatment of the NFKappaB-mediated inflammation will have any meaningful treatment of the condition of anthrax or any other pathogenic bacterial infection.

Second, references to Kalns et al., BBRC 292: 41-44 (2002) and Pellizzari et al. (FEBS Letters 462: 199-204 (1999) indicate that attenuated immune responses increases the rate of disease progression. Applicants' attention is particularly directed to the disclosure of Pellizzari et al. which addresses the

Application/Control Number: 10/029,206

Art Unit: 1651

activity of the lethal factor in cleaving MAPKK enzymes and that LF inhibits the release of TNFa and NO. These results were concluded to be advantageous to the growth of the bacterium during the initial phase of infection. Since Applicants suggest at page 13 of the specification that the oligopeptide used in the practice of the claimed invention be a fragment of MAPKK, it remains unclear and unpredictable as to whether the lethal factor will cleave the oligopeptide and therefore render it ineffective. Further, the result of the treatment of the subject with the oligopeptide is disclosed and claimed to result in the reduction of both TNFa and NO in the subject. It also remains unclear and unpredictable as to whether this reduction will provide a beneficial effect in view of the conclusion of Pellizzari et al. that reductions in TNFa and NO in a subject suffering from an infection of Bacillus anthracis act to benefit the organism and not the subject. Since Kalns et al. (BBRC 297) suggest that the point where the lethal toxin burden becomes lethal may occur prior to presentation of clinical symptoms, it remains unclear and unpredictable as to whether the claimed invention would actually treat versus accelerate the disease progression and ultimate outcome.

Applicants' remarks regarding the government regulations governing the use of anthrax for experimentation are acknowledged, these limitations cannot be given priority over the patent statutes.

Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1651

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean C. Witz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0927. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

dean C. Witz

Frimary Examiner

Art Unit 1651